Nucleophilic Substitution Factors. 1. Coplanar vs. Orthogonal Bimolecular Substitution at a Benzylic Carbon. X-ray Structure of 2-Isobutyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium Perchlorate

J. F. King,* G. T. Y. Tsang, M. M. Abdel-Malik, and N. C. Payne*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7. Received November 13, 1984

Abstract: A stereoelectronic effect in bimolecular nucleophilic substitution at a benzylic center has been observed in the rates of reaction of thiourea in dimethyl- d_6 sulfoxide with a series of sulfonium perchlorates with differing degrees of constraint in the orientation of the reacting C-S⁺ bond with respect to the plane of the aromatic ring. The substrates and their relative rates (at 37 °C, unless otherwise noted) are as follows: (a, highly constrained) 2-ethyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium perchlorate (4b), 1.00, and 2-isobutyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium perchlorate (4c), 2.8; (b, partly constrained) 2-ethyl-3,4-dihydro-1H-2-benzothiopyranium perchlorate (7), 45 (at 82 °C); (c, unconstrained) S,S-dibenzyl-S-ethylsulfonium perchlorate (5b), 8.1×10^3 . An (8×10^3)-fold rate difference was also observed between S,S-dibenzyl-S-ethylsulfonium tetrafluoroborate (5a) and 2-ethyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium tetrafluoroborate (4a) with potassium thiocyanate-18-crown-6 in acetonitrile-d3. Analysis of these rate differences, taken with inspection of molecular models which show that the observed rate differences are not adequately accounted for by simple nonbonding or angle strain effects, clearly indicates a dihedral angle dependent factor consistent with π -overlap between p-orbitals on the aromatic ring and the carbon atom undergoing substitution. The "orbital-overlap factor" is estimated to increase the reactivity of the benzylic center in **5b** (4×10^3) -fold relative to that of the corresponding ethyl group (in 21). A single-crystal X-ray analysis on 4c showed the salt crystallizes in space group $P2_1/n$, unit cell dimensions a = 17.382 (1) Å, b = 9.1731 (6) Å, c = 8.7828 (3) Å, and $\beta = 91.7$ (2)°, with Z = 4. On the basis of 2054 unique data with $F^2 > 2\sigma$, full matrix refinement converged at R = 0.044 for 232 variables. There is a dihedral angle of 3.8° between the C2,S,C9 plane and the plane of the aromatic ring consistent with the observed low reactivity of the benzylic center and the present analysis. The entropies of activation for benzylic substitution of the cyclic and acyclic substrates (4b, 4c, and 5b) with thiourea in Me₂SO- d_6 are very similar (-12 ± 1 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), in contrast to the great ΔS^{\dagger} difference reported for an analogous α -carbonyl system by Bartlett and Trachtenberg; the origin of this difference is discussed.

It has been known since early in the century that bimolecular nucleophilic substitution takes place more readily with benzyl chloride than ethyl chloride, for example.¹ To account for the general phenomenon of accelerated benzylic substitution, Dewar² and Streitwieser³ suggested that the transition state in a benzylic substitution was stabilized by π -bond overlap that may arise if the nuclei and p-orbitals are arranged as in 1. This picture has



found general acceptance and has been supported by more detailed MO analysis, and it is therefore perhaps surprising to realize that when we began our study the only experimental support put forward to justify the notion was the set of results which prompted it in the first place. Implicit in the π -overlap picture is the idea

that the alternative arrangement shown in 2 must lack the stabilization attributed to 1 and hence that any benzylic substitution constrained to react by way of a transition state like 2 would proceed more slowly than that of an ordinary, unconstrained analogue. Although Bartlett and Trachtenberg tested this basic idea for a substitution α to a carbonyl group in a classic study more than 30 years ago,⁵ the carbonyl reaction has complicating features not present in the benzylic system,⁶ and the latter, to our knowledge, has not been the subject of any corresponding experimental study. Accordingly, in this paper we describe kinetic and X-ray crystallographic observations on a constrained system and appropriate models that provide both experimental evidence for, and approximate assessment of, the stereoelectronic orbital-overlap factor in benzylic substitution.⁹ A preliminary report

 (7) For summaries see ref 5 and also: (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Brannen, W.
 T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 4645–4650. (b) Ross, S. D.; Finkelstein, M.; Petersen, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 6411-6415. (c) Thorpe, J. W.; Warkentin, J. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 927-935.

(8) Halvorsen, A.; Songstad, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 327-328.

(9) One apparently unrecognized piece of evidence in support of this picture may be found in the chemistry of O-ethylphthalidium tetrafluoroborate, which is reported (experimental part only) to react with NaI in CH₃CN to give mainly the product of ethyl transfer (phthalide and EtI) and only a low yield of the product of *benzylic* attack (ethyl 2-(iodomethyl)benzoate, iden-tified by its lachrymatory properties!): Meerwein, H.; Borner, P.; Fuchs, O.; Sasse, H. J.; Schrodt, H.; Spille, J. *Chem. Ber.* **1956**, *89*, 2060–2079. In our hands this experiment gave an approximately equimolar mixture (as judged by ¹H NMR) of the two sets of products: Tsang, G. T. Y. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada, 1984. The high reactivity of the starting material hampered both handling and kinetic studies, and we turned to another system for our more detailed study.

⁽¹⁾ Slator, A.; Twiss, D. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1909, 95, 93-103. See also: Conant, J. B. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1924, 46, 232-252; J. Am. Chem. Soc.

⁽²⁾ Dewar, M. J. S. "The Electronic Theory of Organic Chemistry"; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1949; p 73.
(3) Streitwieser, A., Jr. "Solvolytic Displacement Reactions"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1962; pp 11-29.

^{(4) (}a) Dewar, M. J. S.; Dougherty, R. C. "The PMO Theory of Organic Chemistry"; Plenum Press: New York, 1975; pp 256 ff. (b) Dewar, M. J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3531-3539.

⁽⁵⁾ Bartlett, P. D.; Trachtenberg, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5808-5812.

⁽⁶⁾ Complicating features in α -carbonyl substitution include (a) the uncertainty about the site of nucleophilic attack, i.e., whether at the α -carbon, the carbonyl carbon, or somewhere between,⁷ and (b) the dependence of the " α -carbonyl effect" on the nucleophile, illustrated, for example, by the inversion in the relative rates of reaction of phenacyl bromide and MeI on



Figure 1. Second-order rate plot for the reaction of 2-isobutyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium perchlorate (4c) with thiourea in dimethyl- d_6 sulfoxide at 110 °C.

of the first stage of this study has already appeared.¹⁰

Formulas 1 and 2 illustrate the two extreme relationships between the nuclei of the aromatic ring and those of the reacting groups. In 1 the (ideally straight) line joining the attacking atom of the nucleophile (Nu), the carbon atom being substituted (C), and the departing atom of the leaving group (Lg) is *orthogonal* to the plane defined by the nuclei of the aromatic ring, whereas in 2 the Nu-C-Lg line is *coplanar* with the aromatic carbons.¹¹ Referring to 3, "orthogonal" describes the arrangement in which θ is 90° and "coplanar" that in which θ is 0°.

As substrates we chose 2-alkyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium salts (4), with the S,S-dibenzyl-S-ethylsulfonium and triethylsulfonium analogues (5 and 6) for comparison; in addition, we looked briefly at 2-ethyl-3,4-dihydro-1H-2-benzothiopyranium perchlorate (7), the six-membered ring homologue of 4b.



Results and Discussion

Product and Kinetic Studies. Compounds 4–7 were made from the corresponding symmetrical or heterocyclic sulfides by alkylating with either triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate or isobutyl [2]betylate perchlorate.¹² Sulfonium salt 4a reacted cleanly with potassium thiocyanate and 18-crown-6 in acetonitrile to give a mixture containing dihydroisothianaphthene (8), ethyl thiocyanate (9), and the ring-opened product 10 in yields, as determined by NMR integration, of about 80, 80, and 20%, respectively; the reaction of 4b with thiourea in dimethyl sulfoxide proceeded similarly, yielding 8 (75%) and ethylthiouronium perchlorate (11, 75%) along with some 12 (25%), the product of benzylic attack.



By contrast, the dibenzylethylsulfonium salts (5a and 5b) gave only benzyl ethyl sulfide (13) and either benzyl thiocyanate (14) or benzylthiouronium perchlorate (15) with no sign of dibenzyl sulfide or either ethyl thiocyanate (9) or ethylthiouronium perchlorate (11). As expected, thiourea attacked the isobutylsulfonium salt 4c chiefly at the benzylic carbon to form 16 (85-90%), though there was also some reaction at the isobutyl group to give 8 and isobutylthiouronium perchlorate (17) (10-15%). The identity of the products was confirmed by comparison of spectra with those of authentic specimens obtained by independent synthesis. Reaction of the six-membered cyclic sulfonium salt (7) with thiourea in Me_2SO-d_6 gave a reaction product which consisted largely of a material showing NMR singlets at 7.33 and 4.54 ppm, a partly obscured multiplet around 2.5-3.5 ppm, and a triplet at 1.20 ppm, fully consistent with ring-opened structure 18; in addition, small peaks at 7.18 and 3.73 ppm, consistent with the presence of about 5% of 19 in the product, were also clearly in evidence. Control experiments showed both observed and possible alternative products to be stable to the reaction conditions; we conclude that the products are those of kinetic control and the product ratios correspond to rate ratios.

The rates of these reactions were measured by following the disappearance of appropriate NMR peaks due to the starting material. The rate constants were obtained from second-order rate plots, which gave good straight lines to at least 75% reaction for the reactions with thiourea (>90% for those of 4b, 4c, and 5b; see Figure 1 for a typical plot). The observed second-order rate constants are summarized in Table I: the rate constants for the reaction of 7 are less accurate than the others owing to signal overlap in the spectra. The reactions with KSCN and 18-crown-6 were not as clean as those with thiourea and presented further difficulties arising from the rather limited solubility of the crown ether in CD_3CN . The rate constants obtained with this system are therefore also less accurate than those from the reactions of the corresponding substrates in thiourea-Me₂SO; they are included here because they clearly show the same pattern as that displayed by the latter system, thereby confirming the generality of the main results of this study. Table II gives the percentages of reaction

⁽¹⁰⁾ King, J. F.; Tsang, G. T. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.1979, 1131-1132.

⁽¹¹⁾ The geometry may be defined with respect to the orientation of either relevant nuclei or the interacting orbitals. While recognizing that the latter may have had greater currency, we have adopted the former description as closer to phenomenological and thus less likely to need change with advances in theory. Our definition yields a simple numemonic: coplanar or constrained vs. orthogonal or ordinary.

<sup>vs. orthogonal or ordinary.
(12) Me₂CHCH₂OSO₂CH₂CH₂N⁺Me₃ ClO₄⁻: King, J. F.; Loosmore, S. M.; Aslam, M.; Lock, J. D.; McGarrity, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7108-7122.</sup>

 Table I. Observed Rate Constants in the Reactions of Sulfonium Salts with Nucleophiles

	[substrate], [nucleophile],			
substrate	М	M	<i>T</i> , °C	$k_2, M^{-1} s^{-1}$
(a) R	eactions with 7	Thiourea in Dime	thyl- d_6 S	ulfoxide
4b	0.108	0.99	37.0	1.02 × 10 ⁻⁶
4b	0.123	0.99	37.0	1.24 × 10⁻6
4b	0.087	0.56	110.0	2.32×10^{-3}
4b	0.101	0.49	110.0	2.35×10^{-3}
4c	0.098	0.90	37.0	8.27×10^{-7}
4c	0.098	1.03	37.0	8.23×10^{-7}
4c	0.123	1.22	37.0	7.72×10^{-7}
4c	0.099	0.98	37.0	8.83×10^{-7}
4c	0.098	0.83	82.0	1.12×10^{-4}
4c	0.104	1.04	82.0	1.15×10^{-4}
4c	0.087	0.56	110.0	1.57×10^{-3}
4c	0.102	0.49	110.0	1.45×10^{-3}
4c	0.091	0.32	110.0	1.62×10^{-3}
4c	0.094	0.40	110.0	1.43×10^{-3}
4c	0.098	0.17	110.0	1.33×10^{-3}
4c	0.099	0.24	110.0	1.50×10^{-3}
5b	0.058	0.31	36.5	2.15×10^{-3}
5b	0.060	0.30	37.0	2.19×10^{-3}
5b	0.058	0.31	47.8	6.51×10^{-3}
5b	0.059	0.25	57.1	1.55×10^{-2}
5b	0.064	0.27	57.3	1.55×10^{-2}
6b	0.105	1.01	37.0	2.78×10^{-7}
6b	0.132	0.76	37.0	2.71×10^{-7}
7	0.097	0.81	82.3	1.1×10^{-3}
7	0.094	0.82	83.0	1.6×10^{-3}
(b) Reactio	ns with KSCN	in CD ₃ CN Satu	rated (~	0.14 M) with
		18-Crown-6		
4 a	0.12	0.12	37.0	4.9×10^{-6}
4 a	0.11	0.11	37.0	6.4 × 10 ⁻⁶
4 a	0.10	0.10	59.0	1.6×10^{-4}
4 a	0.12	0.13	59.0	1.0×10^{-4}
5a	0.12	0.12	37.0	9.5×10^{-3}
5a	0.18	0.19	37.0	6.1×10^{-3}
5a	0.10	0.10	37.0	7.6×10^{-3}
5a	0.09	0.09	37.0	8.1×10^{-3}
5a	0.09	0.09	37.0	6.8×10^{-3}
<u>6a</u>	0.11	0.12	59.0	2.5×10^{-5}

at the benzylic center, as determined by NMR integration, and also the derived rate constants for reaction at the benzylic and alkyl positions; Table III shows the activation parameters derived from these rate constants for **4b**, **4c**, and **5b**.





Figure 2. Structure of 2-isobutyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium perchlorate (4c) showing the numbering scheme.

It is immediately evident from these results that the constrained substrates, 4 and 7, react at the benzylic center much more slowly than the unconstrained model (5), i.e., that the coplanar reaction is much slower than orthogonal benzylic substitution. The *S*-ethylthiophenium salts (4a and 4b), for example, react 8×10^{-3} times more slowly than the corresponding models (5a and 5b) with either potassium thiocyanate–18-crown-6 in acetonitrile or thiourea in Me₂SO (at 37 °C).

Structure Description. The crystals of 4c are built up from discrete cations and anions, since the shortest nonbonded contact between cations is 2.39 (5) Å between H2C2 and H1C12, whereas between cations and anions values of 2.41 (3) between O4 and H2C9 and 2.61 (3) Å between O3 and H2C10 are observed. An illustration showing the atom numbering scheme is given in Figure 2, while a stereoview of the salt is presented as Figure 3; in each, atoms are drawn as 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. A selection of bond distances and bond angles is given in Table IV.

The perchlorate anion was well-behaved during the refinement, and the final geometry is unexceptionable, with bond distances ranging from 1.396 (4) to 1.423 (2) Å and bond angles from 107.7 (2) to 112.3 (2)°. The geometry of the cation has been determined with an entirely satisfactory degree of accuracy, and the dimen-

Table II.	Percentages of	Benzylic	Attack and	Derived Rate	Constants for	the Reaction	of Sulfonium	Salts wit	h Nucleophiles
-----------	----------------	----------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	----------------

		benzvlic			
substrate	<i>T</i> , °C	attack, ^a %	k ₂	k _b	k _r
		(a) Reactions with 7	Thiourea in Dimethyl- d_6	Sulfoxide	
4b	37.0	24	1.13×10^{-6}	1.36×10^{-7}	8.59×10^{-7}
4b	82.0	(25) ^c	(1.78×10^{-4})	2.23×10^{-5}	$1.33 \times 10^{-4})^{d}$
4b	110.0	25	2.34×10^{-3}	2.95×10^{-4}	1.75×10^{-3}
4c	37.0	92	8.26×10^{-7}	3.80×10^{-7}	6.6×10^{-8}
4c	82.0	90	1.14×10^{-4}	5.13×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-5}
4c	110.0	85	1.48×10^{-3}	6.30×10^{-4}	2.2×10^{-4}
5b	36.5	(100)	2.15×10^{-3}	1.08×10^{-3}	
5b	37.0	(100)	2.19×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-3}	
5b	47.8	(100)	6.51×10^{-3}	3.26×10^{-3}	
5b	57.1	(100)	1.55×10^{-2}	7.75×10^{-3}	
5b	57.3	(100)	1.55×10^{-2}	7.75×10^{-3}	
5b	82.0	(100)	(1.25×10^{-1})	$6.25 \times 10^{-2})^d$	
бb	37.0	(0)	2.75×10^{-7}		9.2×10^{-8}
7	82.3	94	1.1×10^{-3}	1.0×10^{-3}	6×10^{-5}
7	83.0	95	1.6×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-3}	8×10^{-5}
	(b)	Reactions with KSCN	in CD ₃ CN Saturated w	ith 18-Crown-6	
4a	37.0	19	5.7×10^{-6}	5×10^{-7}	4.6×10^{-6}
4 a	59.0	18	1.3×10^{-4}	1×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-4}
5a	37.0	(100)	7.6×10^{-3}	3.8×10^{-3}	
6a	59.0	(0)	2.5×10^{-5}		0.8×10^{-5}

^a Average value of those obtained by NMR integration at the end of each kinetic run. ^b k_2 is the measured second-order rate constant (see Table 1, mean value of multiple determinations at one temperature); k_b and k_r are statistically corrected rate constants for attack at the benzylic and alkyl (ethyl or isobutyl) positions, respectively. ^cBy interpolation. ^d Estimated from the activation parameters.



Figure 3. Stereoview of 2-isobutyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium perchlorate (4c).

Table III. Activation Parameters^a for the Reactions of Sulfonium Perchlorates with Thiourea in Dimethyl-d₆ Sulfoxide

			•	•	-		_
substrate	ΔH_2^*	ΔS_2^*	ΔH_{b}^{*}	ΔS_{b}^{*}	ΔH_r^*	ΔS_r^*	
4b	24.0	-8.4	24.2	-12.1	24.0	-9.1	
4c	23.5	-10.6	23.3	-12.9	25.4	-9.7	
5b	18.9	-9.8	18.9	-11.3			

^aObtained from a least-squares fit of ln (k/T) vs. 1/T. ΔS^* values refer to 37 °C. ΔH^* values are in kcal mol⁻¹; ΔS^* , in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. Subscripts are those of the corresponding rate constants (Table 11) used to obtain these parameters.

sions so obtained are consistent, both within the molecule itself and with other studies in the literature. Moreover, all 17 H atoms were located, and refined with isotropic Debye parameters. The C-H bond lengths varied from 0.89 (4) to 1.05 (4) Å; angles involving H atoms at sp²-hybridized C atoms varied from 119 (2) to 122 (2)° and at sp³-hybridized atoms not included in the five-membered ring from 105 (1) to $115 (3)^{\circ}$.

The structural chemistry of sulfonium salts was reviewed in 1981 by Perozzi and Paul in a detailed article.¹³ In each analysis, where S is bonded to three C atoms, it adopts a trigonal-pyramidal geometry as observed in the present study. In comparison with the structures in the review¹³ the geometry observed in the present study is notable for two reasons, the small C2-S-C9 angle of 95.7 (1)°, the smallest found, and the distance of the S atom from the plane formed by the three bonded C atoms, 0.85 Å, the largest seen. Both of these may be attributed to constraints resulting from inclusion of the S atom in a five-membered ring. Perozzi and Paul also presented Newman projections showing the torsion angles in several of the structures reviewed. Data for 4c, where the sulfur atom is part of a five-membered ring, are given in Table SI.⁴⁸ The isopropyl group on C10 is almost exactly anti (178°) to C9, whereas the C10-C11 bond is almost orthogonal (87°) to the C2-S bond.

The closest approach of the sulfonium center to the perchlorate anion is S...O3 at 3.080 (3) Å. Given the generally accepted van der Waals radii for S and O of 1.75 and 1.40 Å, respectively, this distance may be taken¹³ to indicate a significant interaction, though weaker, perhaps, than that of 3.006 (3) Å observed in an aminosulfonium salt. However, the three X-S-O3 bond angles involving X = C2, C9 and C10 respectively are 171.7 (1), 76.1 (1), and 80.0 (1)°, which would indicate no directional preference for a strong, interionic, electrostatic interaction.

From the viewpoint of the mechanistic study the most important feature of the structure is given by the weighted least-squares planes (presented in full in Table SII). The plane formed by C2,C9,S is at an angle of 3.8° to plane of the arene fragment;¹⁴ the C–S⁺ bond is thus close to coplanarity with the benzene ring,

thereby making **4c** an appropriate substrate for displaying the effect of a minimal contribution from the orbital-overlap factor.

A second feature is the clear indication of strain in the fivemembered ring, shown not only by the small C2-S-C9 angle mentioned above but also by the similarly contracted other internal angles of the five-membered ring. Cleavage of one of the ring $C-S^+$ bonds might be expected to relieve some of this strain and hence show steric acceleration. This has been demonstrated¹⁵ in reactions of simple saturated five-membered cyclic sulfonium salts; making the reasonable assumption that the methyl transfer has much the same rate whether a five- or six-membered heterocycle is the leaving group, we may estimate from the results of Eliel et al.^{15a} that such ring strain generates an acceleration of about 25-30 times.

Finally, we note that except for a short distance between the sulfur and H1C13 atoms (2.77 (4) Å), all pairs of atoms not bonded to each other or to the same atom are separated by distances close to or greater than the sum of the van der Waals radii. The short H.S separation may influence the reactivity of 4c, as noted below.

The Need for the Orbital-Overlap Factor. It is clear that the greater rate of reaction at the benzylic center in 5 vs. that in 4 and 7 is nicely consistent with the incursion of an orbital-overlap factor displaying the expected dependence on the dihedral angle, θ . This stereoelectronic effect, however, is not the only conceivable influence determining the rates of these reactions-the possible nonbonding repulsion between the attacking nucleophile and the ortho hydrogen shown in 20, for example, is obviously another-and, accordingly, a more detailed analysis of our results is required.

To this end the following rate factors are defined with respect to the rate of the same reaction of the corresponding ethyl group (cf. ref 3), specifically, the reaction at one of the ethyl groups in 21: (a) a dihedral angle dependent "orbital-overlap" factor,

symbolized as f_{θ} and defined as the rate difference (acceleration) that may be assigned to orbital-bond overlap that is observed between the reaction of a benzylic substrate and that of the ethyl group in 21; (b) a "nonbonding repulsion factor", $f_{\rm nb}$, arising from the interaction of the attacking nucleophile with the hydrogen ortho to the methylene group undergoing coplanar attack, as illustrated in 20; (c) a "ring-strain factor", f_r , the effect of differences in ring strain between starting material and the transition state when one of the ring CS⁺ bonds in a cyclic substrate is being broken (as mentioned above the occurrence of ring-strain acceleration has been clearly demonstrated¹⁵ in simple analogues of 4 and is to be expected in the reaction of 4 itself from the

⁽¹³⁾ Perozzi, E. F.; Paul, I. C. In "The Chemistry of the Sulphonium Group"; Stirling, C. J. M., Patai, S., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1981; pp 15-77. (14) Note that C2 and C9 are not quite coplanar with the aromatic ring, but, with reference to Figure 3, are slightly in front of the ring while the sulfur atom is slightly behind. The C2,C3C8,C9 plane, makes a 2.5° angle with the aromatic ring and hence a 6.3° angle with C9,S,C2 plane.

^{(15) (}a) Eliel, E. L.; Hutchins, R. O.; Mebane, R.; Willer, R. L. J. Org. *Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 1052–1057. See also: (b) Garst, M. E.; McBride, B. J. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, *48*, 1362–1366.



evidence for ring strain provided by the X-ray crystallographically determined structure).

Defining k_b and k_e as rate constants for attack at benzylic and ethyl positions, respectively, and indicating the substrates of reactions by a subscripted structure number, we may write the following:

$$\frac{(k_{b})_{5b}}{(k_{e})_{21}} = (f_{\theta})_{5b}(f_{nb})_{5b}$$
(1)
$$\frac{f_{b}}{(k_{e})_{21}} = (f_{\theta})_{4b}(f_{nb})_{4b}(f_{r})_{4b}$$

and so

$$\frac{(f_{\theta})_{5b}(f_{nb})_{5b}}{(f_{\theta})_{4b}(f_{nb})_{4b}(f_{r})_{4b}} = \frac{(k_{b})_{5b}}{(k_{b})_{4b}} = \frac{1.10 \times 10^{-3}}{1.36 \times 10^{-7}} = 8.1 \times 10^{3} (2)$$

It is evident from this that if we were to try to account for the much faster benzylic substitution in 5 over 4 without invoking orbital overlap, it would have to be on the basis of a high value of $(f_{nb})_{5b}/(f_{nb})_{4b}(f_r)_{4b}$, but careful examination of molecular models provides no indication of this, Specifically, the nonbonding interactions in the ordinary benzylic reaction of 5 are not evidently much different from those in the corresponding ethyl transfer, and hence $(f_{nb})_{5b}$ cannot be much different from one. If, for the transition states, we assign (a) a $C \cdots S^{\delta+}$ bond length of 2,2-2.3 Å, corresponding to a 20-30% bond extension in the transition state, ¹⁶ and (b) a preferred C-C···S^{δ +} angle of 90°, and at the same time distort the angles in the five-membered ring to roughly the same extent that they are in the starting material, we obtain a transition state for the benzylic reaction of 4b with $\theta \approx 30^{\circ}$ and a puckered five-membered ring in which the eclipsing interactions present in 4 itself are removed; with this model the internuclear separation of the attacking sulfur and the ortho hydrogen is only slightly smaller than that in the corresponding ethyl transfer. By further small distortion of the model (such that one might reasonably imagine that the sum of the torsional and ring strains in the transition state is about the same as that in 4, and hence f_{r} \approx 1), the S…H internuclear distance can be increased to the same as that estimated for the ethyl reaction. Even though these models only roughly approximate the actual transition states from 4, 5, and 6, they clearly show that there is no basis for assigning more than a part (and probable a small part at that) of the factor of 8×10^3 to the ratio $(f_{\rm nb})_{\rm 5b}/(f_{\rm nb})_{\rm 4b}(f_{\rm r})_{\rm 4b}$ and hence that there must be a dihedral angle dependent factor leading to the high reactivity of 5 relative to 4. We conclude that the orbital-overlap factor is fully concordant not only with the original results it was invoked to explain but also the much more stringent test reported here.¹⁷

In this context we note a recent report by Garst and McBride^{15b} on related reactions of allylic analogues of 4b and 7 in which it is reported that nucleophilic displacement with ring opening takes place readily (using an enolate anion) with the six-membered unsaturated sulfonium ion whereas the reaction of the fivemembered analogue (2,5-dihydrothiophenium fluoroborate) gives only the product of ring opening by an elimination process. With this five-membered cyclic species the lack of nucleophilic substitution cannot be ascribed to nonbonding repulsions but is in full accord with an orbital overlap picture common to benzylic and allylic nucleophilic substitutions.

The Magnitude of the Orbital-Overlap Factor. To determine the magnitude of $(f_{\theta})_{5b}$ we define another factor, f_{l} , to deal with the small corrections required when the leaving group is not a benzylic ethyl sulfide. The rates of ethyl transfer from 4b and **6b** (Table II) are in the ratio 10:1, indicating a rate increase of about 3 as each ethyl group is replaced by a benzylic substituent; on this basis we assign $(f_1)_{4b} \approx 3$ and $(f_2)_{6b} \approx 0.3$. Taking $(k_e)_{4b}/(k_e)_{21} = (f_1)_{4b}$ and $(k_e)_{6b}/(k_e)_{21} = (f_1)_{6b}$ with eq 1 and the rate constants in Table II, we obtain

$$\frac{(f_{\theta})_{5b}(f_{nb})_{5b}}{(f_{l})_{4b}} = \frac{(k_{b})_{5b}}{(k_{e})_{4b}} = 1.3 \times 10^{3}$$

and so

$$(f_{\theta})_{5b} = \frac{1.3 \times 10^{3}}{(f_{nb})_{5b}} (f_{l})_{4b}$$
$$(f_{\theta})_{5b} = \frac{1.2 \times 10_{4}}{(f_{nb})_{5b}} (f_{l})_{6b}$$

Since, as noted above, models show no indication of significant differences in nonbonding interaction energies in benzyl and ethyl transfers, we assign $(f_{\rm nb})_{\rm 5b} \approx 1$, and with the $f_{\rm l}$ values above, obtain, from each of these expressions, $(f_{\theta})_{5b} \approx 4 \times 10^3$ for the reaction of sulfonium salts with thiourea in Me₂SO at 37 °C. In the same way we find a rough value of $(f_{\theta})_{5b} \approx 10^3$ at 82 °C from the rate constants for 5b and 7 in this system and, in addition, from the rates of reaction of 4a and 5a, $(f_{\theta})_{5a} \approx 3 \times 10^3$ for the reaction of potassium thiocyanate-18-crown-6 in CD₃CN at 37 °C.

On examining the rate data for the isobutyl salt (4c), we note that k_b for 4c is 2.8 times that for 4b and also that the rate of ethyl transfer from 4b is only about 13 times that of isobutyl transfer from 4c rather than the more usual factors³ of around 30. These observations are consistently accounted for by assuming that there is a small amount of steric strain in 4c which is relieved during cleavage of one of the C-S⁺ bonds. As has been noted above, 4c shows a short H...S distance (conveniently described as a gauche CH₃...S interaction), and it is conceivable that this is somewhat relieved in the transition state for nucleophilic attack.¹⁸ Using $(k_b)_{4c}$, $(k_b)_{5b}$, and $(k_e)_{4b}$ from Table II and incorporating a strain release factor of roughly 3 for the C-S⁺ cleavage reactions of 4c, we arrive at $(f_{\theta})_{5b} \approx 4 \times 10^3$, the same value as that already found above.20

The factor f_{θ} , which presumably achieves its maximal value in the reactions of simple benzyl derivatives such as 5, may also be described in terms of a difference in free energy of activation, $\Delta\Delta G^{*}_{\theta}$, of about 5 kcal mol⁻¹. By way of comparison, we note that the difference in heats of hydrogenation of ethylene and styrene (to ethylbenzene), which may be taken as a measure of the analogous (i.e., cross conjugative) π -overlap energy in styrene,

3288-3294.

^{(16) (}a) Wolfe, S.; Mitchell, D. J.; Schlegel, H. B. Can. J. Chem. 1982, 60, 1291-1294. See also: (b) DeTar, D. F.; McMullen, D. F; Luthra, N. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2484-2493 and references cited.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Our demonstration of a rate factor dependent on the dihedral angle, θ , does not in itself establish that π -overlap is the *basis* of the factor. Wolfe et al., ^{16a} for example, point to the possibility of an additional θ -dependent mode of p orbital interaction.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Specifically, by attack (a) C10 (X-ray numbering) extending the C10-S bond or (b) at C2 with a small accompanying contraction of the C9-SC-10 angle, with, in each case, concomitant increase in the H1C13---S separation.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Laur, P. H. "Sulfur in Organic and Inorganic Chemistry"; Senning, A., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1972; Vol. 3; p 100.

⁽²⁰⁾ We also note another (probably minor) factor, the polar effect of the aryl substituent in benzylic substitution, f_{pb} , which may arise from the somewhat greater electron-withdrawing power of phenyl vs. methyl groups and which is expected to be independent of the dihedral angle, θ . If we accept Young and Jencks' assignment²¹ of the rate difference between benzyl and Young and Jencks' assignment²¹ of the rate difference between benzyl and *p*-nitrobenzyl halides as due to a polar effect, we may estimate from known²² k and σ^* values that the rate of attack of PhNH₂ on PhCH₂Cl is influenced by polar effects enought to slow the reaction of PhCH₂Cl relative to that of CH₃CH₂Cl by a factor of ~0.4. Since f_{pb} would appear in the denominator of eq 1, a factor of ~0.4 for f_{pb} in the sulfonium salt-thiourea reaction would increase the estimated value of f_{θ} accordingly. (21) Young, P. R.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 3288–3294.

⁽²²⁾ Ballistreri, F. P.; Maccarone, E.; Mamo. A. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3364–3367. Perrin, D. D.; Dempsey, B.; Serjeant, E. P. "pKa Prediction for Organic Acids and Bases"; Chapman and Hall: London, 1981; pp 119 and 121.

Table IV

atom		atom	distance ^a	atom	a	itom	distance ^a	atom	ato	m	distance
					Bor	nd Distance	s				
C1	(D1	1.404 (4)	C4	C	5	1.375 (6)	C10	HIC	10	0.94 (3)
C1	(02	1.423 (2)	C4	H	IC4	0.90 (3)	C10	H1C	10	0.94 (3)
C1	(D3	1.419 (2)	C5	C	6	1.378 (6)	C10	H ₂ C	10	0.98 (4)
C1	(04	1.396 (4)	C5	H	IC5	0.89 (4)	C11	C12		1.519 (4)
S	(22	1.815 (3)	C6	C	27	1.377 (5)	C11	C13		1.517 (4)
S	(C9	1.813 (3)	C6	H	IC6	1.00 (4)	C11	HC1	1	0.99 (3)
S	(210	1.813 (2)	C7	C	28	1.383 (4)	C12	H1C	12	1.01 (4)
C2	(23	1.506 (4)	C7	H	IC7	1.03 (4)	C12	H2C	12	0.96 (4)
C2	ł	H1C2	0.96 (3)	C8	C	:9	1.500 (4)	C12	H3C	12	1.00 (4)
C2	ł	H2C2	1.05 (4)	C9	H	I1C9	1.01 (3)	C13	HIC	13	0.99 (4)
C3	(24	1.385 (4)	C9	H	I2C9	0.98 (3)	C13	H2C	13	0.99 (5)
C3	(28	1.377 (4)	C10	C	211	1.505 (4)	C13	H3C	13	0.93 (4)
atom	atom	atom	angle ^b	atom	atom	atom	angle	atom	atom	atom	angle
					B	ond Angles					
O 1	C1	O2	111.0 (2)	C4	C5	C6 -	120.3 (4)	C11	C10	H1C10	113 (2)
O1	C1	O3	108.0 (2)	C4	C5	HC5	119 (3)	C11	C10	H2C10	111 (2)
O1	C1	O4	109.8 (2)	C6	C5	HC5	121 (3)	H1C10	C10	H2C10	108 (3)
O2	C1	O3	107.7 (2)	C5	C6	C7	120.5 (4)	C10	C11	C12	108.0 (2)
O2	C1	O4	108.0 (2)	C5	C6	HC6	120 (2)	C10	C11	C13	112.1 (3)
O3	C1	O4	112.3 (2)	C7	C6	HC6	120 (2)	C10	C11	HC11	108 (2)
C2	S	C9	95.7 (1)	C6	C7	C8	119.2 (4)	C12	C11	C13	111.4 (3)
C2	S	C10	101.9 (1)	C6	C7	HC7	122 (2)	C12	C11	HC11	106 (2)
C9	S	C10	101.8 (1)	C8	C7	HC7	119 (2)	C13	C11	HC11	110 (2)
S	C2	C3	106.0 (2)	C3	C8	C7	120.4 (3)	C11	C12	H1C12	110 (2
S	C2	H1C2	104 (2)	C3	C8	C9	116.1 (2)	C11	C12	H2C12	112 (2)
S	C2	H2C2	103 (2)	C7	C8	C9	123.5 (3)	C11	C12	H3C12	106 (2)
C3	C2	H1C2	116 (2)	S	C9	C8	106.1 (2)	H1C12	C12	H2C12	106 (3)
C3	C2	H2C2	113 (2)	S	C9	H1C9	104 (2)	H1C12	C12	H3C12	109 (3)
H1C2	C2	H2C2	113 (3)	S	C9	H2C9	104 (2)	H2C12	C12	H3C12	115 (3)
C2	C3	C4	124.2 (3)	C8	C9	H1C9	118 (2)	C11	C13	H1C13	108 (2
C2	C3	C8	115.7 (2)	C8	C9	H2C9	116 (2)	C11	C13	H2C13	112 (3)
C4	C3	C8	120.1 (3)	H1C9	C9	H2C9	108 (3)	C11	C13	H3C13	109 (2)
C3	C4	C5	119.5 (4)	S	C10	C11	111.9 (2)	H1C13	C13	H2C13	108 (3)
C3	C4	HC4	119 (2)	S	C10	H1C10	107 (2)	H1C13	C13	H3C13	107 (3)
C5	C4	HC4	121 (2)	S	C10	H2C10	105 (2)	H2C13	C13	H3C13	112 (3)

^a In angstroms. ^b In degrees. Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.

is 4.2 kcal mol^{-1,23} It should be noted that $\Delta\Delta G^*_{\theta}$, in itself, does not give a direct measure of the effect of the orbital overlap in the transition state for the reaction of 5 but rather reflects the difference in overlap energy between the transition state and starting material. In light of the evidence of π -overlap in allylic halides, alcohols, and ethers (the "generalized anomeric effect"²⁴) such an effect may well be present in 5 (in the ground state), and hence the overlap energy in the transition state from 5 may amount to rather more than 5 kcal mol⁻¹.

A further question is how much orbital overlap is there in the reactions of the constrained compounds, 4 and 7? Although we cannot fully answer the question, the following argument indicates that $(f_{\theta})_7$ must be >50 while $(f_{\theta})_4$ is probably small (perhaps $\sim 1 - 10$).

The product ratio from the reaction of 7 (at 82.3 °C; see Table II) enables us to write

$$(f_{\theta})_7 (f_{\rm nb})_7 (f_{\rm r})_7 (f_{\rm l})_7 = \frac{(k_{\rm b})_7}{(k_{\rm e})_7} = 16$$

Taking $(f_1)_7 \approx 0.3$ (leaving group less reactive than a benzylic ethyl sulfide, as discussed above) we get

$$(f_{\theta})_7 (f_{\rm nb})_7 (f_{\rm r})_7 \approx 50 \tag{3}$$

From the fact that we observe some of the deethylation products but no sign of any of the material that would arise from ring cleavage by attack at C3, we infer that there is ring strain in the transition state inhibiting ring opening in 7 and hence that $(f_r)_7$

< 1. As mentioned in the previous section, any nonbonding interactions will slow the reaction, hence $f_{nb} \leq 1$, and it thus follows from eq 3 that $(f_{\theta})_7 > 50$, i.e., that there is at least some orbital overlap in the transition state from 7.

Returning to eq 2 and taking $(f_{\theta})_{5b} \approx 4 \times 10^3$ and $(f_{nb})_{5b} \approx$ 1, as above, we obtain

$$(f_{\theta})_{4b}(f_{nb})_{4b}(f_r)_{4b} \approx \frac{4 \times 10^3}{8 \times 10^3} \approx 0.5$$
 (4)

The 100-fold smaller value of the $f_{\theta}f_{nb}f_{r}$ product in eq 4 relative to that in eq 3 is obviously fully consistent with $(f_{\theta})_{4b} \ll (f_{\theta})_7$, as one would expect from the greater steric constraints imposed by the five-membered ring system. Since there is no apparent way in which f_{θ} can be <1, we assign $(f_{\theta})_{4b} \ge 1$, and hence $(f_{\rm nb})_{4b}(f_{\rm r})_{4b} \leq 0.5$. A simple and consistent picture for the reaction of 4b that emerges from these points and those already raised in the preceding section is one in which the combination of the nonbonding and ring-strain effects results in only a rather small reduction in rate relative to that ethyl transfer from 21 and in which the overlap factor, $(f_{\theta})_{4b}$, is comparatively small, say, between 1 and 10. It must be noted, however, that our observations do not exclude the possibility that the transition states in the reactions of 4 and 7 have extensive orbital overlap in highly distorted, highly strained geometries in which large values of f_{θ} are compensated by a very small $f_{\rm s}f_{\rm nb}$ product. Our inspection of molecular models, however, suggests that the contortion required to make such strained species would require more energy than can be provided by the approximately 5 kcal mol^{-1} (see above) resulting from maximal overlap, but a rigorous exclusion of this possibility would require more accurate tools for assessing these factors than are available to us at present.

General Reactivity and Synthetic Consequences. As has already been pointed out, one obvious result from the present study is that the S_N2 reactivity of a benzylic center can, with sufficient con-

⁽²³⁾ Mortimer, C. T. "Reaction Heats and Bond Strengths"; Pergamon

^{(24) (}a) Kirby, A. J. "The Anomeric Effect and Related Strengelis", Pergamon 20 (24) (a) Kirby, A. J. "The Anomeric Effect and Related Stereoelectronic Effects at Oxygen"; Springer-Verlag: West Berlin, 1983; pp 20 ff. (b) Lessard, J.; Tan, P. V. T.; Martino, R.; Saunders, J. K. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 1015-1023. (c) Brown, R. S.; Marcinko, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5721-5727.

straint, be reduced by a factor of almost 10⁴, i.e., to rather less than that of an ethyl group. Such a substantial rate difference may be expected to lead to qualitatively different behavior between constrained and unconstrained systems, and, in fact may already have been seen in the aqueous chlorination of 1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophene (8) to form (2-formylphenyl)methanesulfonyl chloride (22).²⁵ With unconstrained benzyl sulfides in aqueous acetic acid the usual product, in addition to the sulfonyl chloride, is benzyl chloride and not benzaldehyde.²⁶ The different oxidation levels in the products are readily explained in the present context by simply noting that the presumed chlorosulfonium ion intermediate from unconstrained starting material (PhCH₂S⁺ClR) is readily cleaved to PhCH₂Cl and RSCl (which then gives RSO₂Cl), whereas the lack of orbital overlap in the transition state from 4 (R, X = Cl) (for either $S_N 2$ or $S_N 1$ opening) should slow the C-S⁺ bond cleavage, thereby permitting an alternative "thionium" $(>C=S^+-R)$ ion to α -hydroxy sulfide sequence²⁷ ultimately leading to the aldehyde sulfonyl chloride 22.

Though the consequences of orbital overlap (or its absence) in ring cleavage are not trivial, the effect may well prove of greater practical synthetic significance in the microscopic reverse process, i.e., cyclization reactions. For example, a cyclization to a fiveor six-membered ring involving displacement at a benzylic center may well prove more troublesome than expected owing to competing polymer formation, since the full value of f_{θ} in the latter process may be sufficient to counterbalance the usual entropic assistance of the formation of five- and six-membered rings (particularly if the product of the f_{nb} , f_r , and f_{θ} factors in the cyclization is $\ll 1$).

Normality of the Activation Parameters. From Table III we note that the rate differences between the cyclic and unconstrained sulfonium salts appear mostly as differences in the enthalpies of activation, while the entropies of activation are very similar (-12) \pm 1 cal mol^{-1} K^{-1}) and in the usual range for $S_N 2$ reactions 28 $\,$ This $\,$ observation would be unremarkable had not Bartlett and Trachtenberg's classic study⁵ yielded very different ΔS^* values for the constrained and unconstrained reactions (specifically, +25.4 cal mol^{-1} K⁻¹ for the reaction of iodide in acetone with 5,7-dinitrocoumaranone and -30.0 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ for that of their open-chain model). Though our chemical system is not the same as theirs, the difference between the two sets of results, especially when taken with the high positive entropy of activation reported for the dinitrocoumaranone reaction, leads us to wonder if they have correctly identified the reaction that they followed. It would seem not unlikely that the true $S_N 2$ reaction of the dinitrocoumaranone with iodide ion may be too slow to compete with another, unknown process with quite different activation parameters (possibly one with an initial electron transfer from iodide to the aromatic ring), but Bartlett and Trachtenberg report no experimental information about the products of their reactions, and further speculation at this stage is unwarranted. We would note, however, that though our results may cast doubt on the validity of the activation parameters for the dinitrocoumaranone reaction (and hence on any conclusions specifically dependent on them), they do not affect the basic point that the constrained system was found to react more slowly than the unconstrained and hence that the S_N^2 reaction α to a carbonyl probably has a stereoelectronic requirement.

Experimental Section

General. Instrumentation: IR, Beckman IR 4520 or Perkin-Elmer 621 using 0.1-mm NaCl or KBr cells; ¹H NMR, Varian T-60 or XL-100 with all chemical shifts from the XL-100 except as noted; MS, Varian MAT-311A; mp, Kofler hot stage (uncorrected). Solvents and reagents: when not otherwise described, reagent grade materials without further purification; triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate, mp 90-93 °C, lit.²⁹ mp

91-92 °C dec; 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride,³⁰ bp 40 °C/0.8 torr. Simpler Substrates and Authentic Specimens. Triethylsulfonium tetrafluoroborate (6a), mp 110-110.5 °C, lit.31 mp 105.5 °C, 1H NMR (CD₃CN) & 3.22 (6 H, q), 1.40 (9 H, t); triethylsulfonium perchlorate (6b) (from 6a as described below for $4a \rightarrow 4b$), mp 115 °C, lit.³² mp 11.5-112.5 °C, ¹H NMR (Me₂SO-*d*₆) δ 3.32 (6 H, q), 1.35 (9 H, t); 1,3-dihydrobenzo[*c*]thiophene²⁵ (8), mp 22-23 °C, lit.³³ mp 22.5-23.5 °C, ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 7.26 (4 H, s), 4.20 (4 H, s); 3,4-dihydro-1H-2-benzothiopyran³⁴ (19), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 7.15 (4 H, s), 3.73 (2 H, s), 3.1-2.75 (4 H, sym m centered at 2.93); benzyl ethyl sulfide²⁶ (13), bp 84 °C/4.9 torr, ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.30 (5 H, s), 3.72 (2 H, s), 2.42 (2 H, q), 1.18 (3 H, t); dibenzyl sulfide, ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.30 (5 H, s), 3.62 (2 H, s); ethyl thiocyanate³⁵ (9), bp 76 °C/15 torr, ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 3.02 (2 H, q), 1.46 (3 H, t); MS, m/e calcd for C_3H_5NS 87.0143, found 87.0141; benzyl thiocyanate (14) (from benzyl mesylate³⁶ and NaSCN in MeOH, 3 h at 25 °C) mp 40-42 °C, lit.³⁷ mp 41 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.42 (5 H, s), 4.23 (2 H, s); MS, *m/e* calcd for C₈H₇NS 149.0299, found 149.0298. S-Benzylthiouronium perchlorate (15) was made from the chloride in aqueous solution (mp 149-150 °C, made from benzyl chloride and thiourea in refluxing absolute EtOH) by addition of saturated NaClO₄, mp 181.5-182.5 °C (recrystallized from absolute EtOH-ether); IR (KBr disk) 3390 (m), 3330 (m), 3230 (m), 3180 (m), 1650 (s), 1435 (m), 1090 (vs), 710 (m); ¹H NMR (Me_2SO-d_6) δ 9.03 (4 H, br s), 7.39 (5 H, s), 4.47 (2 H, s). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₁ClN₂O₄S: C, 36.03; H, 4.16; Cl, 13.29; N, 10.50; S 12.02. Found: C, 36.18; H, 4.21; Cl, 13.15; N, 10.42; S 11.89. Slsobutylthiouronium perchlorate (17) was obtained from S-isobutylthiouronium tosylate (from thiourea and isobutyl tosylate), mp 154-155 °C, lit.38 mp 156-157 °C, by ion exchange (Rexyn 201 (OH) converted to the ClO₄⁻ form), viscous liquid, ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 8.95 (4 H, br s), 3.06 (2 H, d), 1.86 (1 H, m), 0.99 (6 H, d). S-Ethylthiouronium perchlorate (11) was obtained as a viscous liquid from the bromide or tosylate³⁸ by ion exchange as described for $4a \rightarrow 4b$; ¹H NMR $(Me_2SO-d_6) \delta 8.95 (4 H, br s), 3.16 (2 H, q), 1.28 (3 H, t).$

2-Ethyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium Tetrafluoroborate (4a) and Perchlorate (4b). Triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (1.7 g, 90 mmol) was added to solution of 8 (1 g, 73 mmol) in dry ethylene chloride (4 mL) and the flask stoppered and shaken on a mechanical shaker at room temperature overnight, whereupon excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The grayish solid was recrystallized from absolute EtOH, giving 4a as white needles, mp 77-78 °C; IR (KBr disk) 1740 (m), 1418 (m), 1294 (m), 1055 (vs), 895 (m), 750 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) & 7.47 (4 H, s), 4.99-4.52 (4 H, AB quartet, & 4.89, 4.62, J = 16 Hz), 3.14 (2 H, q), 1.35 (3 H, t). Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{13}BF_4S$: C, 47.65; H, 5.20; S, 12.72. Found: C, 47.57; H, 5.39; S, 12.64.

A solution of 4a (0.58 g, 2.3 mmol) in the minimum amount of methanol was passed down a column of quaternary ammonium ion-exchange resin (Rexyn 203 (OH), Fisher, 10 g, converted to the perchlorate form with 30% perchloric acid) and the resin eluted slowly with further methanol (300 mL). Removal of the MeOH gave 4b as a white solid (0.59 g, 96%) which recrystallized from absolute EtOH-ether as white needles, mp 90.5-91 °C; 1R (KBr disk) 1455 (m), 1408 (m), 1080 (vs), 885 (m), 745 (s), 625 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 7.56–7.35 (4 H, m), 5.04–4.65 (4 H, AB quartet, δ 4.91, 4.77, J = 16 Hz), 3.19 (2 H, q), 1.23 (3 H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₃ClO₄S: C, 45.37; H, 4.95; Cl, 13.39; S, 12.11. Found: C, 45.43; H, 5.08; Cl, 13.35; S, 12.25.

2-(2-Methylpropyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophenium Perchlorate (4c). lsobutyl [2]betylate perchlorate was prepared via isobutyl ethenesulfonate (from the alcohol, 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride, and Me₃N) to the (dimethylamino)ethanesulfonate ester (with Me₂NH) to the betylate fluorosulfate (with MeSO₂F) to the betylate perchlorate (with NaClO₄) by the general procedure;¹² recrystallization from CH₃CNether gave white crystals, mp 141-141.5 °C dec; 1R (KBr) 2980 (w), 1480 (m), 1360 (s), 1170 (s), 1080 (vs), 935 (s), 855 (m), 630 (m), 580 (m), 530 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 4.17 (d, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 4 H), 3.19 (s, 9 H), 2.02 (7, 1 H), 1.00 (d, 6 H). Calcd for Anal. $C_9H_{22}CINO_7S$: C, 33.39; H, 6.85; Cl, 10.95; N, 4.33; S, 9.90. Found:

(30) Le Berre, A.; Etienne, A.; Dumaitre, B. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1970, 946-953

- (32) Milligan, T. W.; Minor, B. C. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 235-236.
- (33) Oliver, J. A.; Ongley, P. A. Chem. Ind. (London) 1965, 1024.
 (34) Böhme, H.; Tils, L.; Unterhalt, B. Chem. Ber. 1964, 97, 179-185.
 (35) Walden, P. Ber. 1907, 40, 3215-3217.

- (36) From benzyl alcohol and "easy mesyl" (N,N-diethyl-N-methyl-N-(methylsulfonyl)ammonium fluorosulfate): King, J. F.; du Manoir, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2566–2567.
 (37) Footner, H. B.; Smiles, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1925, 127, 2887–2891.

 - (38) Klamann, D.; Drahowzal, F. Monatsh. Chem. 1952, 83, 463-470.

⁽²⁵⁾ King, J. F.; Hawson, A.; Huston, B. L.; Danks, L. J.; Komery, J. Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 943-955.

⁽²⁶⁾ Langler, R. F. Can. J. Chem. 1976, 54, 498-499.
(27) Baum, J. C.; Hardstaff, W. R.; Langler, R. F.; Makkinje, A. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 1687-1691.

⁽²⁸⁾ See, for example, ref 7a and 7c.

⁽²⁹⁾ Meerwein, H. Org. Synth. Collect. Vol. 1973, 5, 1080-1082.

⁽³¹⁾ Meerwein, H.; Hinz, G.; Hofmann, P.; Kroning, E.; Pfeil, E. J. Prakt. Chem. 1937, 147, 257-285.

C, 33.45; H, 6.96; Cl, 10.62; N, 4.54; S, 10.03.

A solution of the betylate (1.82 g, 5.6 mmol) and 8 (3.85 g, 28.1 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (20 mL) was refluxed for 140 h. Upon cooling, the mixture was filtered (to remove the precipitated Me₃N⁺-CH₂CH₂SO₃) and the solvent evaporated. Trituration with dry ether followed by filtration removed the excess 8, leaving 4c as a gray solid (0.90 g, 55%); five recrystallizations from absolute ethanol-ether gave the analytical specimen as white crystals, mp 120.5-121 °C; IR (KBr disk) 2980 (m), 1457 (m), 1401 (m), 1080 (vs), 894 (m), 745 (s), 628 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 7.50 (4 H, s), 5.15–4.60 (4 H, AB quartet, δ 4.99, 4.77, J = 16 Hz), 3.08 (2 H, d), 2.16 (1 H, m), 1.05 (6 H, d). Anal. Caled for C₁₂H₁₇ClO₄S: C, 49.23; H, 5.85; Cl, 12.11; S, 10.95. Found: C, 49.27, H, 6.12, Cl, 12.15; S, 11.14.

S,S-Dibenzyl-S-ethylsulfonium Tetrafluoroborate (5a) and Perchlorate (5b). Dibenzyl sulfide (1.33 g, 6.21 mmol) and triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (1.41 g, 7.45 mmol) as in the preparation of 4a gave 5a as white needles (from absolute EtOH), mp 94-95 °C; lit.³¹ mp 93.5 °C; 1R (KBr disk) 1497 (m), 1460 (m), 1060 (vs), 777 (m), 710 (m), 692 (m), 528 (m); ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.46 (10 H, s), 4.52 (4 H, s), 3.15 (2 H, q), 1.25 (3 H, t). The perchlorate (5b) was prepared quantitatively from 5a (as for $4a \rightarrow 4b$), white crystals from absolute EtOH, mp 108-108.5 °C; lit.³² mp 108.5 °C; 1R (KBr) 1498 (m), 1458 (m), 1085 (vs), 777 (m), 710 (s), 692 (s), 628 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ (Me₂SO-d₆) 7.45 (10 H, s), 4.74 (4 H, s), 3.26 (2 H, q), 1.19 (3 H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₉ClO₄S: C, 56.05; H, 5.59; Cl, 10.34; S, 9.35. Found: C, 56.12; H, 5.72; Cl, 10.21; S, 9.31.

[2-[(Ethylthio)methyl]phenyl]methyl Thiocyanate (10) and S-[2-[(Ethylthio)methyl]phenyl]thiouronium Perchlorate (12) and Picrate. [o-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl]methanethiol39 (1 g, 6.5 mmol) was added to a solution of sodium ethoxide in absolute ethanol (from addition of 153 mg of Na metal to the ethanol); ethyl bromide (0.71 g, 6.5 mmol) was dropped in and the mixture let stand for 12 h. Workup gave crude o-[(ethylthio)methyl]benzyl alcohol (1.18 g, 100%) as a yellowish oil; 1R (neat) 3380 (br, s), 2960 (m), 2920 (m), 2860 (m), 1450 (m), 1265 (m), 1205 (m), 1035 (m), 1000 (s), 765 (s), 730 (s) 615 (m); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 7.5-7.2 (4 H, m), 4.72 (2 H, s), 3.79 (2 H, s), 3.08 (1 H, br s), 2.44 (2 H, q), 1.21 (3 H, t). Reaction of this thioether-alcohol (0.57 g, 3.1 mmol) with "easy mesyl"³⁶ (1.2 g, 4.5 mmol) and N,N-dimethylaminoacetonitrile (20 μ L) in dichloromethane (15 mL) and acetonitrile (1.5 mL) gave the mesylate as a dark oil (0.9 g); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, T-60) § 7.3 (4 H, s), 5.4 (2 H, s), 3.8 (2 H, s), 2.9 (3 H, s), 2.5 (2 H, q), 1.3 (3 H, t) (plus solvent signals). The crude mesylate on treatment with NaSCN in methanol at room temperature for 15 min gave the thiocyanate (10); recrystallization from ether yielded white crystals, mp 43-45 °C; 1R (CHCl₃) 3000 (m), 2970 (m), 2930 (m), 2150 (s), 1450 (m), 1225 (br m), 690 (m), 640 (m); ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) δ 7.35 (4 H, s), 4.41 (2 H, s), 3.85 (2 H, s), 2.47 (2 H, q), 1.21 (3 H, t); MS, m/e calcd for C₁₁H₁₃NS₂ 223.0489, found 223.0490. Reaction of the crude mesylate with thiourea in ethanol for 1/2 h at room temperature gave the thiouronium mesylate as a dark oil; ion extraction of a CHCl₃ solution with aqueous NaClO₄ (8 eq) yielded 12 as a yellowish liquid; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO-d₆) δ 9.1 (4 H, br s), 7.34 (4 H, br "s"), 4.58 (2 H, s), 3.87 (2 H, s), ~ 2.5 (obscured by Me₂SO-d₅), 1.18 (3 H, t). lon extraction of 12 with CHCl₃-aqueous picric acid gave the picrate as yellow crystals (from ethanol), mp 176-177 °C; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO-d₆) δ 9.1 (4 H, br s), 8.60 (2 H, s), 7.34 (4 H, br s), 4.58 (2 H, s), 3.88 (2 H, s), ~2.5 (obscured by Me_2SO-d_5), 1.18 (3 H, t). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}N_5O_7S$: C, 43.49; H, 4.08; N, 14.92; S, 13.66. Found: C, 43.61; H, 4.13; N, 14.80; S, 13.59.

S-[2-[(2-Methylpropylthio)methyl]phenyl]methylthiouronium Perchlorate (16) and Picrate, A mixture of [o-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]methanethiol³⁹ (1 g, 6.5 mmol), sodium methoxide (from 0.5 g of Na, 22 mmol), and isobutyl bromide (1.4 mL, 12.9 mmol) in methanol (45 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 48 h; workup gave the crude isobutyl thioether (1.4 g, 100%) which was purified by chromatography on silica gel and distillation in a short-path cold-finger apparatus, yielding a colorless oil; ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) & 7.5-7.2 (4 H, m), 4.71 (2 H, d), 3.78 (2 H, s), 3.23 (1 H, t), 2.35 (2 H, d), 2.76 (2 H, m, partly obscured by CHD₂CN), 0.93 (6 H, d). The thioether-alcohol was converted via the mesylate to the thiouronium mesylate and then to the amorphous thiouronium perchlorate (16) as for 12, above; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) 9.1 (4 H, br s), 7.5-7.3 (4 H, m), 4.60 (2 H, s), 3.86 (2 H, s), 2.37 (2 H, d), 1.74 (1 H, m), 0.92 (6 H, d); ion exchange to the picrate, as above, gave yellow crystals (from methanol), mp 175-176 °C; ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 9.1 (4 H, br s), 7.36 (4 H, br "s"), 4.59 (2 H, s), 3.85 (2 H, s), 2.36 (2 H, d), 1.73 (1 H, m), 0.91 (6 H, d). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}O_7N_5S_2$: C, 45.87; H, 4.66; N, 14.08; S, 12.89. Found: C, 45.63; H, 4.76; N, 14.19; S, 12.71.

2-Ethyl-3,4-dihydro-1H-2-benzothiopyranium Perchlorate (7). A mixture of 19 (0.93 g, 4.9 mmol) and triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (1.1 g), as in the conversion $8 \rightarrow 4b$, gave 7 as off-white crystals (0.4 g, 30%), mp 64.5-65.5 °C; IR 2980 (m), 1455 (m), 1415 (m), 1080 (vs), 765 (s), 625 (s); ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 7.44 (4 H, s), 4.58 (2 H, s), 3.9-3.6 (1 H, m), 3.4-2.7 (5 H, m), 1.36 (3 H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₅ClO₄S C, 47.42; H, 5.38; Cl, 12.74 S, 11.49. Found: C, 47.51; H. 5.43: Cl. 12.81: S. 11.38.

Reaction of the Sulfonium Salts with Nucleophiles. The reactions of 4, 5, and 6 with thiourea in Me_2SO-d_6 or KSCN in CD₃CN saturated with 18-crown-6 were monitored by spectrometry, the signals due to the starting material being replaced as the reaction proceeded by those known from authentic spectra to be characteristic of the products. For example, in the reaction of a solution of 4c (0.09 M) and thiourea- d_4 (0.82 M) in Me₂SO-d₆ at 106.5 °C, the signals (XL-100) due to 4c (those given above except for a shift of the doublet at δ 3.08 to δ 3.18) rapidly shrank ($t_{1/2}$ 8-10 min) and were replaced by peaks at 7.5-7.2, 4.60, 3.87, 2.42, 1.78, and 0.94 ppm clearly due to 16, along with smaller peaks (a singlet at 4.21 ppm and doublets at 3.10 and 1.00 ppm, the latter partly obscured) due to 8 and 17 in equal amounts; after 60 min the signals from 4c had completely disappeared, and further heating at this temperature had no effect; upon raising the temperature to \sim 135 °C, a slow further reaction, characterized by growth of the peak at 4.2 ppm (assigned to 8) and gradual disappearance of the singlets at 4.6 and 3.86 ppm due to 16 together with signs of more general decomposition, was observed.

A solution of 7 (0.094 M) was prepared by dissolving 7 (13.5 mg) in a preheated (83 °C) solution of thiourea (34 mg, 0.81 M) in Me₂SO-d₆ (570 mg) and a series of ¹H NMR spectra (XL-100, at 83.0 ± 0.2 °C) started immediately. The signals due to 7 (at 7.44, 4.58, 3.9-3.6, 3.4-2.7, and 1.36 ppm) shrank while those assigned to 18 (7.33, 4.54, \sim 3, 1.21 ppm) and to the small amount of 19 (7.15 and 3.73 ppm) grew ($t_{1/2} \sim 7$ min, essentially complete reaction after 60 min, with the spectrum unchanged after a further 190 min). Extended heating of the reaction product at 110 °C ($t_{1/2} \sim 2$ h) replaced these signals by those of an equimolar mixture of 19 (NMR as above plus the multiplet centered at 2.9 ppm) and 11 (peaks at 3.16 and 1.28 ppm); this spectrum was unaffected by further heating of the mixture at ~85 °C for 90 h.

In a control experiment a miture of dibenzyl sulfide and ethyl thiocyanate was unaffected (T-60 NMR) after standing for 2 h in KSCN and 18-crown-6 in CD₃CN at 25 °C.

Kinetic Measurements. Rates were determined by following the disappearance of starting material as determined by comparing the NMR integral of a (set of) peak(s) characteristic of the substrate with that of both starting material and product(s); e.g., the fraction of unreacted 4c was obtained from (integral of the AB quartet of 4c)/[(integral of the AB quartet of 4c) + 2 (integral of the δ 4.6 singlet of 16) + (integral of the δ 4.2 singlet of 8)]. In a typical kinetic run a stock solution of the sulfonium salt (0.10-0.20 M) in CD_3CN or Me_2SO-d_6 and of the nucleophile (0.10-1.0 M) in the same solvent (in the case of CD₃CN, previously saturated with 18-crown-6) was transferred with a syringe to an NMR tube to make up the required concentrations; the minimum amount of Me₄Si was added and the mixture shaken immediately. With those reactions fast enough to be followed directly in the spectrometer, the NMR tube was then quickly placed in the probe of the T-60 or XL-100 instrument which had been set at the desired temperature. For the slower reactions the NMR tube was placed in ice bath, flame-sealed, and then maintained at the specified temperature in a previously equilibrated constant temperature bath, with the tube being withdrawn and the NMR integrations run at appropriate intervals.

Collection and Reduction and X-ray Data. White crystals of 4c were obtained by slow recrystallization of 4c from absolute ethanol. A photographic examination using Weissenberg and precession photography showed that the crystals were monoclinic, and preliminary cell constants were obtained. Systematic absences of h0l, h + l odd, and 0k0, k odd, led to an unambiguous assignment of the space group as $P2_1/n$, equivalent positions $\pm(x,y,z)$ and $\pm(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$, an alternative setting of $P2_1/c$, No. 14.^{40a} The density was determined by flotation in a mixture of 1,2-dibromoethane and hexane and corresponds to four formula units per cell, requiring no crystallographic site symmetry.

A crystal of equant habit, with approximate dimensions 0.24×0.21 \times 0.20 mm, was chosen and an orientation matrix determined by using the search and index routines on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4F diffractometer.⁴¹ All measurements were made with Cu K α radiation. ω -Scans

⁽³⁹⁾ Schlessinger, R. H.; Ponticello, I. S. J. Chem. Soc. D 1969, 1013-1014. McGarrity, M. J. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada, 1984.

^{(40) &}quot;International Tables for X-ray Crystallography"; Kynoch Press:
Birmingham, England; (a) 1969, Vol. I; (b) 1974, Vol. IV.
(41) "Enraf-Nonius CAD4F Users Manual"; Enraf-Nonius Delft: Delft,

Netherlands, 1982.

Table V. Crystal Data and Experimental Conditions

compound $C_{12}H_{17}ClO_4S$ formula weight292.78temperature20 °Cunit cell dimensions $a = 17.382$ (1) Å $b = 9.1731$ (6) Å $c = 8.7828$ (3) Å $\beta = 91.7$ (2)°cell volume1399.8 ųZ4density (obsd)1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd)1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K $\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces{100} {001} {101} {111} {110}crystal volume 7.89×10^{-3} mm³absorption coefficient 38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mmcrystal detector dist205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range $1.00 + 0.14$ tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$		
formula weight temperature292.78 20 °C a = 17.382 (1) Å b = 9.1731 (6) Å c = 8.7828 (3) Å $\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume1399.8 Å ³ Z4 density (obsd)density (calcd)1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ 1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationcrystal faces{100} {001} {101} {110} {110} crystal volumecrystal volume 205 mm^3 205 mm^3 absorption coefficient scan 8.76 cm^{-1} $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ $0 < 0 < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections	compound	C ₁₂ H ₁₇ ClO ₄ S
temperature20 °Cunit cell dimensions $a = 17.382 (1) \text{ Å}$ $b = 9.1731 (6) \text{ Å}$ $b = 9.1731 (6) \text{ Å}$ $c = 8.7828 (3) \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume1399.8 Å ³ Z4density (obsd)1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd)1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K $\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ filterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta, \text{ at } 1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 -1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	formula weight	292.78
unit cell dimensions $a = 17.382 (1) \text{ Å}$ $b = 9.1731 (6) \text{ Å}$ $c = 8.7828 (3) \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume1399.8 Å3Z4density (obsd)1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd)1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K $\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ filterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 -1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	temperature	20 °C
$b = 9.1731 (6) \text{ Å}$ $c = 8.7828 (3) \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume 1399.8 Å^{3} Z 4 density (obsd) $1.394 (1) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ density (calcd) 1.391 g cm^{-3} space group $P2_{1}/n$ radiation $Cu K\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ filter $Ni \text{ foil, prefilter, 0.015 mm}$ crystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^{3}$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist $scan$ $\theta - 2\theta, at 1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $101 - 1, 5 \le 1, 033$	unit cell dimensions	a = 17.382 (1) Å
c = 8.7828 (3) Å $\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume 1399.8 Å ³ Z 4 density (obsd) 1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd) 1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group P2 ₁ /n radiation Cu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å filter Ni foil, prefilter, 0.015 mm crystal faces {100} {001} {101} {110} crystal volume 7.89 × 10 ⁻³ mm ³ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (horiz) 4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mm crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4–3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range 1.00 + 0.14 tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections 10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3		b = 9.1731 (6) Å
$\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$ cell volume 1399.8 Å^{3} Z 4 density (obsd) $1.394 (1) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ density (calcd) 1.391 g cm^{-3} space group $P2_1/n$ radiation Cu K $\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ filter Ni foil, prefilter, 0.015 mm crystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume 7.89 × 10 ⁻³ mm ³ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (horiz) 4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mm crystal detector dist scan $\theta - 2\theta, \text{ at } 1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range 1.00 + 0.14 tan θ data collected 0 < $\theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections Ni foil of the state		c = 8.7828 (3) Å
cell volume1399.8 Å ³ Z4density (obsd)1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd)1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K $\alpha, \lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces{100} {001} {101} {110} {110}crystal volume7.89 × 10 ⁻³ mm ³ absorption coefficient38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mmscan $\theta-2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range1.00 + 0.14 tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3		$\beta = 91.7 (2)^{\circ}$
Z4density (obsd) $1.394 (1) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ density (calcd) 1.391 g cm^{-3} space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	cell volume	1399.8 Å ³
density (obsd) 1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³ density (calcd) 1.391 g cm ⁻³ space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\}$ {001} {101} {110}crystal volume 7.89×10^{-3} mm ³ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5$ tan θ mmcrystal detector dist 205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4$ deg min ⁻¹ scan range $1.00 + 0.14$ tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$	Ζ	4
density (calcd) 1.391 g cm^{-3} space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\} \{001\} \{101\} \{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 \le 1, 0 \le 3$	density (obsd)	1.394 (1) g cm ⁻³
space group $P2_1/n$ radiationCu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces{100} {001} {101} {110}crystal volume7.89 × 10 ⁻³ mm ³ absorption coefficient38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mmcrystal detector dist205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range1.00 + 0.14 tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3	density (calcd)	1.391 g cm^{-3}
radiationCu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅfilterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces{100} {001} {101} {110}crystal volume7.89 × 10 ⁻³ mm ³ absorption coefficient38.76 cm ⁻¹ detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mmcrystal detector dist205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4–3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range1.00 + 0.14 tan θ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ standard reflections10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3	space group	$P2_1/n$
filterNi foil, prefilter, 0.015 mmcrystal faces $\{100\}$ $\{001\}$ $\{101\}$ $\{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert) 4 mm detector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	radiation	Cu K α , $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å
crystal faces $\{100\}$ $\{001\}$ $\{110\}$ $\{110\}$ crystal volume $7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	filter	Ni foil, prefilter, 0.015 mm
crystal volume7.89 $\times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$ absorption coefficient38.76 cm^{-1}detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz)4.00 + 0.5 tan θ mmcrystal detector dist205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$	crystal faces	{100} {001} {101} {110}
absorption coefficient 38.76 cm^{-1} detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}$, $0 \le h \le 19$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$	crystal volume	$7.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^{3}$
detector aperture (vert)4 mmdetector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}$, $0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 \le 1, 0 \le 3$	absorption coefficient	38.76 cm ⁻¹
detector aperture (horiz) $4.00 + 0.5 \tan \theta \text{ mm}$ crystal detector dist 205 mm scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at $1.4 - 3.4 \text{ deg min}^{-1}$ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 \le 1, 0 \le 3$	detector aperture (vert)	4 mm
crystal detector dist205 mmscan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$	detector aperture (horiz)	$4.00 \pm 0.5 \tan \theta \text{mm}$
scan $\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹ scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 1 - 1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3$	crystal detector dist	205 mm
scan range $1.00 + 0.14 \tan \theta$ data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	scan	$\theta - 2\theta$, at 1.4-3.4 deg min ⁻¹
data collected $0 < \theta < 76^\circ, 0 \le h \le 19$ $-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	scan range	$1.00 \pm 0.14 \tan \theta$
$-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$ standard reflections $10 \ 1 - 1, 5 \ 4 \ 1, 0 \ 3 \ 3$	data collected	$0 < \theta < 76^{\circ}, 0 < h < 19$
standard reflections 10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3		$-1 \le k \le 10, -9 \le l \le 9$
	standard reflections	10 1 -1, 5 4 1, 0 3 3

of several intense, low-angle reflections with a wide open counter had an average width at base of 0.15° , a satisfactory value.⁴² A summary of the crystal data is given in Table V.

Intensity data were recorded at variable scan speeds so as to optimize counting statistics within a maximum time per datum of 100 s. Background estimations were made by extending the scan by 25% on each side. Standard reflections were monitored every 120 min of X-ray exposure time and showed a 4.3% decrease over the total experimental time of 120 h. A linear decay correction was therefore applied.⁴³

The crystal orientation was checked every 500 observations recorded, but no significant change was observed. A total of 3340 reflections was measured, and these were corrected for background, Lorentz, and polarization effects, and monochromator polarization, by using the SDP package running on a PDP-11/23+ computer.⁴³ Standard deviations were assigned on the basis of counting statistics, and a starting value of 0.04 chosen for $p.^{44}$ The 10 crystal faces were identified by optical goniometry and the crystal measured by using a microscope fitted with a filar evepiece. The data were corrected for absorption by the Gaussian method.⁴⁵ After the equivalent forms (R = 0.012 on F) were averaged, a total of 2819 unique data with F > 0.0 remained. Experimental details are given in Table V.

Structure Solution and Refinement. The structure was solved by direct methods using the program MULTAN,⁴⁶ through which all but one of the 17 non-hydrogen atoms were located. Scattering factors for neutral, non-hydrogen atoms were taken from ref 40b, while those for H were from Stewart et al.⁴⁷ Real and imaginary corrections for anomalous dispersion were included for all nonhydrogen atoms.^{40b} Refinement was by full-matrix least squares on F, minimizing the function $\sum w(||F_o - F_c||)^2$, where the weight w is given by $4F_o^2/\sigma^2(F_o)$. With all non-hydrogen atoms assigned anisotropic thermal parameters, refinement converged at $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o| = 0.095$, and $R_2 = (\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum |F_o|^2)^{1/2} = 0.127$.

All 17 hydrogen atoms were readily located in a difference Fourier synthesis and included in the refinements with isotropic thermal parameters. An inspection of F_0 and F_c showed that an extinction parameter should be refined, and two reflections were given zero weights due to asymmetric background. The final cycles of refinement included 232 variables and a p value of 0.08, used 2054 independent reflections with $F > 2\sigma$, and converged at agreement factors of $R_1 = 0.044$ and $R_2 = 0.066$. The largest parameter shift in the final cycle was 0.16 σ , in the z coordinate of the methyl H atom H1C13. A final difference Fourier

King et al.

Table VI. Atomic Positional^a and Thermal^a Parameters

				<u> </u>
atom	<u>x</u>	у	2	$U_{eq}(AZ)$
C1	2483.7 (4)	5575.1 (7)	215.5 (7)	541 (2)
S	1998.5 (3)	5033.9 (7)	4483.6 (7)	442 (2)
O1	1775 (2)	4883 (4)	434 (4)	1200 (10)
O2	2419 (2)	6647 (3)	-953 (2)	830 (7)
O3	2702 (2)	6299 (4)	1589 (3)	962 (9)
O4	3029 (2)	4550 (3)	-216 (5)	1633 (12)
C2	1484 (2)	4501 (3)	6163 (3)	538 (7)
C3	858 (2)	5619 (3)	6342 (3)	510 (7)
C4	349 (2)	5630 (4)	7526 (4)	725 (9)
C5	-228(2)	6654 (5)	7536 (4)	900 (11)
C6	-289 (2)	7685 (4)	6398 (5)	892 (11)
C7	220 (2)	7690 (4)	5225 (4)	694 (9)
C8	792 (1)	6643 (3)	5199 (3)	499 (7)
C9	1353 (2)	6509 (3)	3941 (3)	522 (7)
C10	2813 (2)	6017 (3)	5310 (3)	461 (6)
C11	3453 (2)	5006 (3)	5814 (3)	500 (7)
C12	4058 (2)	5902 (4)	6677 (4)	722 (10)
C13	3797 (2)	4205 (4)	4485 (4)	695 (9)
HC4	38 (2)	493 (3)	824 (3)	7.3 (9)
HC5	-57 (2)	662 (4)	828 (4)	12 (1)
HC6	-70 (2)	843 (5)	642 (4)	15 (2)
HC7	20 (2)	847 (4)	438 (4)	11(1)
H1C2	188 (2)	448 (3)	695 (3)	9(1)
H2C2	127 (2)	346 (4)	587 (4)	12(1)
H1C9	114 (2)	621 (4)	290 (4)	11 (1)
H2C9	170 (2)	735 (3)	380 (3)	8(1)
H1C10	262 (2)	658 (3)	611 (3)	8 (1)
H2C10	298 (2)	667 (4)	450 (4)	11 (1)
HC11	325 (2)	431 (3)	655 (3)	7.4 (9)
H1C12	384 (2)	630 (4)	765 (4)	11 (1)
H2C12	450 (2)	533 (4)	699 (4)	11 (1)
H3C12	418 (2)	673 (4)	600 (4)	12 (1)
H1C13	338 (2)	364 (4)	396 (4)	12 (1)
H2C13	402 (2)	489 (5)	374 (5)	17 (2)
H3C13	416 (2)	354 (4)	486 (4)	14 (1)

^a×10⁴. Anisotropically refined atoms are given in the form of the isotropic equivalent thermal parameter defined as $(1/_6)\pi^2[a^2B_{1,1} + b^2B_{2,2} + c^2B_{3,3} + ab(\cos\gamma)B_{1,2} + ac(\cos\beta)B_{1,3} + bc(\cos\alpha)B_{2,3}$. Hydrogen atom parameters: position (×10³) and thermal (×10²).

synthesis contained 11 peaks ranging from 0.45 (5) to 0.19 (5) e Å⁻³, all of which were in the region of the perchlorate anion. Apparently there is some disorder present; nevertheless it is minor, so no effort was made to include a disorder model. The error in an observation of unit weight is 1.34 e, and an analysis of R_2 in terms of the magnitude of F_{o} , $\lambda^{-1} \sin \theta$, and various combinations of Miller indices showed no unusual trends.

Final positional parameters and U(equiv) or U thermal parameters are given in Table VI for all atoms. Thermal parameters for those atoms assigned anisotropic parameters (Table S111), root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration (Table S1V), and structure amplitudes (Table SV) have been deposited.⁴⁸

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. S. Skonieczny for experimental assistance and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support of this work.

Registry No. 4a, 73224-89-2; **4b**, 95675-83-5; **4c**, 95675-85-7; **5a**, 21529-87-3; **5b**, 51616-78-5; **7**, 95675-86-8; **8**, 2471-92-3; **9**, 542-90-5; **10**, 73224-90-5; **11**, 95675-87-9; **12** perchlorate, 95675-89-1; **12** picrate, 95676-00-9; **13**, 6263-62-3; **14**, 3012-37-1; **15**, 95675-90-4; **16** perchlorate, 95675-92-6; **16** picrate, 95676-02-1; **17**, 95675-93-7; **18**, 95675-95-9; **19**, 4426-75-9; Et₃OBF₄, 368-39-8; (PhCH₂)₂S, 538-74-9; HOCH₂-o-C₆H₄CH₂SH, 26257-08-9; EtSCH₂-o-C₆H₄CH₂OSO₂Me, 95675-99-3; HOCH₂-o-C₆H₄CH₂CH(CH₃)₂, 95676-01-0; KSCN, 333-20-0; (NH₂)₂C=S, 62-56-6; isobutyl [2]betylate perchlorate, 95675-97-1; easy mesyl, 55791-04-3.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of torsion angles (Table SI), weighted least-squares planes (Table SII), anistropic thermal parameters (Table SIII), root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration (Table SIV), and structure amplitudes (Table SV), (17 pages), Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

 ⁽⁴²⁾ Furnas, T. C. "Single Crystal Orienter Instruction Manual"; G. E.
 Company: Milwaukee, WI, 1966.
 (43) "Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package, SDP-PLUS";

 ^{(43) &}quot;Enrat-Nonius Structure Determination Package, SDP-PLUS";
 Version 1.0, 1982.
 (44) Busing, W. R.; Levy, H. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1957, 26, 563-568.

 ⁽⁴⁴⁾ Busing, W. K.; Levy, H. A, J. Chem. Phys. 1957, 20, 505–506.
 (45) Coppens, P.; Leiserowitz, L.; Rabinovich, D, Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 1035–1038.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ MULTAN 11/82, Main P. 1982 in ref 47.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Stewart, R. F.; Davidson, E. R.; Simpson, W. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175-3187.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Supplementary material.